Examining the Over-regulation in Nigeria's Fintech Sector

By: Hafsat Salihu
Next Advocates of Liberty Project Research Fellow
Federal University of Lafia, Nasarawa State

Executive Summary:

Nigeria's financial technology (fintech) sector has experienced rapid acceleration, driven by innovations in digital payments, mobile banking, and diverse financial services. These advancements facilitate seamless transactions and bridge the gap for the unbanked population, positioning fintech as a critical engine for financial inclusion and macroeconomic growth. Despite this expansion, an increasingly complex regulatory landscape now challenges the industry. Fintech enterprises must navigate intricate licensing frameworks, rigorous compliance mandates, and fragmented approval processes. While these regulations aim to safeguard consumers and ensure systemic stability, excessive oversight poses a significant barrier to entry for emerging startups.

This study contends that over-regulation stifles technological progress, discourages entrepreneurship, and ultimately restricts the reach of financial inclusion. The prevailing regulatory burden threatens to offset the gains made by the sector by creating a prohibitive environment for innovation. To harmonize innovation with consumer protection, this research proposes a strategic overhaul of the current framework. Specifically, the study recommends streamlining licensing to reduce administrative costs, expanding regulatory sandboxes to allow for low-risk experimentation, and improving communication among regulatory bodies to eliminate redundant oversight. By striking an optimal balance between oversight and operational freedom, Nigeria can sustain fintech momentum, broaden financial access, and bolster long-term economic resilience.

Introduction

Nigeria has emerged as one of the fastest-growing fintech hubs in Africa, driven by startups leveraging technology to revolutionize financial services such as payments, savings, and lending. This rapid expansion significantly enhances financial access, particularly for previously underserved populations (Central Bank of Nigeria [CBN], 2026).

However, alongside this industrial growth, concerns have mounted regarding the stifling effects of regulatory saturation. While robust frameworks are essential to prevent fraud and safeguard users, excessive or ambiguous regulations impose substantial barriers to operational agility,

This research is published as part of the Liberalist Centre's Next Advocates Liberty Project supported by the Institute of Economic Affairs through her Whetstone Freedom Fund.

innovation, and scalability. Addressing the challenge of over-regulation is critical, given that fintech serves as a primary catalyst for economic development and financial inclusion within the Nigerian economy (Ibeh, 2026).

From a liberty-centered perspective, disproportionate regulation constrains creativity, deters entrepreneurship, and diminishes market competition. Consequently, Nigeria requires a calibrated regulatory environment, one that ensures consumer protection while fostering an ecosystem where innovation can thrive.

Background and Context

Nigeria's fintech sector has experienced significant strides, establishing itself as one of the most dynamic landscapes in Africa. This expansion stems from increased smartphone penetration, expanded internet access, and a heightened demand for efficient, convenient financial services. Currently, according to the CBN (2026), over 200 fintech firms operate within the country, providing diverse services including payments, credit facilities, and digital banking. The sector consistently attracts substantial capital inflows. In 2024, Nigeria's fintech industry secured approximately $2 billion in funding, representing 35% of the nation's total technology investment.

Furthermore, fintech serves as a primary driver for financial inclusion. Access to formal financial services among Nigerians rose from approximately 30% in 2012 to 64% in 2023, integrating over 70 million additional individuals into the digital banking ecosystem (CBN, 2026). This upward trajectory continued into 2025, with the industry recording a 70% growth rate, underscoring its pivotal role in the burgeoning digital economy.

Despite these achievements, significant hurdles persist. Approximately 37.5% of fintech firms report difficulties in capital acquisition, often citing regulatory delays and macroeconomic volatility as primary obstacles (Tunji, 2026). Such friction points raise critical questions regarding whether the current regulatory framework has become prohibitively restrictive.

While the fintech sector demonstrably bolsters economic growth, generates employment, and advances financial inclusion, intensifying regulatory pressure now emerges as a significant concern. Consequently, this study examines the extent of over-regulation and evaluates its long-term impact on the sector's developmental trajectory.

Statement of the Problem

While Nigeria's fintech sector continues to expand rapidly, growing concerns suggest that excessive regulation has begun to impede its momentum. The core issue is not the existence of regulation itself, but rather the proliferation of rules, protracted licensing delays, and ambiguous guidelines that obstruct seamless operations. Many startups struggle to satisfy stringent requirements, while others navigate volatility caused by frequent policy shifts from regulators like the CBN (Aro, 2026).

This regulatory friction impacts several key stakeholders. Fintech startups and entrepreneurs face direct consequences, as exorbitant compliance costs and administrative delays stifle growth or precipitate market exits. Similarly, an unpredictable regulatory climate deters investors, reducing the flow of essential capital into the sector.

The problem persists primarily due to overlapping jurisdictions among regulatory bodies, a lack of policy consistency, and a rigid institutional focus on risk mitigation. Although these measures aim to protect consumers and ensure systemic stability, they often manifest as excessive controls that stifle technological innovation.

Failure to address these systemic bottlenecks could yield severe economic repercussions. A decline in fintech growth would likely erode Nigeria's status as Africa's premier fintech hub, stifle job creation, and diminish investment opportunities. Furthermore, such stagnation would ultimately stall progress toward national financial inclusion goals (International Monetary Fund [IMF], 2025).

Consequently, this study identifies an urgent need to re-evaluate the current framework to establish an optimal balance between robust oversight and industrial innovation.

Research Aim/Objectives

The primary aim of this study is to evaluate the impact of the current regulatory environment on the Nigerian fintech sector. To achieve this, the study seeks to address the following specific objectives:

- To examine the existing regulatory framework governing financial technology in Nigeria.
- To analyze the manifestation and extent of over-regulation within the sector.
- To evaluate the effects of regulatory saturation on the growth, scalability, and operational efficiency of fintech startups.
- To propose strategic recommendations for establishing an optimal balance between robust oversight and industrial innovation.

This research is published as part of the Liberalist Centre's Next Advocates Liberty Project supported by the Institute of Economic Affairs through her Whetstone Freedom Fund.

Analytical Framework

This study utilizes a synthesis of economic and policy analysis to evaluate the impact of regulatory saturation on Nigeria's fintech ecosystem. The framework operates on the premise that regulation entails both systemic benefits and operational costs; therefore, the primary objective is to identify the equilibrium point between institutional control and industrial innovation.

Initially, the framework examines regulation as a mechanism of institutional oversight, primarily enforced by the CBN. While oversight is essential for consumer protection, fraud prevention, and systemic stability, the framework posits that when regulations become redundant, ambiguous, or prohibitively complex, they transform into market barriers.

The analytical model focuses on the interplay between three critical variables:

- Regulatory Intensity: Measures the complexity and rigor of the oversight environment, including licensing stringency, the cost of compliance, and the frequency of policy shifts.
- Fintech Innovation and Growth**:** Evaluates the capacity of firms to develop disruptive products, secure venture capital, and scale operations.
- Economic Outcomes**:** Assesses broader macroeconomic indicators, specifically financial inclusion rates, employment generation, and contributions to the digital economy.

The core of this framework explores the non-linear relationship between these variables: whereas moderate, transparent regulation fosters growth by establishing market trust, over-regulation creates a diminishing return, where excessive oversight stifles innovation and decelerates sectoral progress.

Pro-Freedom Argument

A liberty-based approach to Nigeria's fintech sector emphasizes the reduction of unnecessary restrictions, the improvement of institutional efficiency, and the reliance on market forces to drive innovation. While regulation remains essential, according to Ibeh (2026) excessive or poorly designed policies create systemic inefficiencies that cap the sector's potential.

Currently, multiple bodies, including the CBN, Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), Nigeria Data Protection Commission (NDPC), oversee the industry. Although these institutions aim to maintain stability and protect consumers, their overlapping jurisdictions and frequent policy shifts generate significant uncertainty for fintech enterprises. For instance, protracted licensing approvals and ambiguous guidelines inflate operational costs and decelerate the pace of

innovation. In Nigeria, a startup seeking a Mobile Money Operator (MMO) license has to navigate an arduous process that can take between 6 to 18 months for final approval(CBN, 2026). During this period, the firm must maintain expensive IT infrastructure and pay staff salaries without the legal authority to generate revenue or onboard customers.

Furthermore, according to Kevin (2025), the requirement to deposit a minimum capital of ₦2 billion in a non-interest-bearing escrow account with the CBN during the application phase "traps" essential liquidity. Instead of deploying these funds toward product development or cybersecurity, the capital remains unproductive, often forcing smaller, innovative startups to stall or exit the market before they even launch. Such inefficiencies discourage emerging startups and stifle market competition.

Furthermore, the sector's high compliance burden presents a formidable barrier to entry. Fintech firms must navigate stringent rigorous reporting obligations, and complex approval processes. While intended to mitigate risk, these mandates unintentionally favor large, established incumbents while marginalizing smaller startups. This disparity weakens competition and diminishes the overall efficiency of the financial system.

The argument for over-regulation is further strengthened by the escalating tax burden on digital businesses. Beyond licensing fees, fintech companies face a myriad of taxes, levies, and reporting obligations from federal, state, and local agencies. For instance, one of the prominent recent examples of excessive fiscal pressure on the Nigerian fintech sector include the Electronic Money Transfer Levy (EMTL) and the increment Value Added Tax (VAT) on digital transactions. Under current regulations, a singular, flat-rate levy of ₦50 is applied to every electronic transfer of money deposited in any financial institution in amounts of ₦10,000 and above, which significantly increases transaction costs for micro-payment service providers.

Simultaneously, fintech firms face a 7.5% VAT on all commissionable transactions, a rate that adds a substantial layer of cost to digital payments and agency banking services. These overlapping fiscal obligations, often compounded by additional state-level technology levies, create a high-cost environment that can deter startups from maintaining low-cost models for the unbanked.

Although recent tax reforms sought to simplify compliance, they simultaneously expanded the tax net to include digital activities, cross-border transactions, and digital assets. While tax collection supports national development, overlapping levies deplete the capital reserves necessary for research and development. (Shereefdeen, 2024)

This research is published as part of the Liberalist Centre's Next Advocates Liberty Project supported by the Institute of Economic Affairs through her Whetstone Freedom Fund.

The Effects of Over-regulation

The accumulation of these regulatory and fiscal pressures creates a "compliance trap" that fundamentally alters the trajectory of the industry. When a significant portion of a startup's seed funding is diverted toward legal fees and regulatory deposits rather than product development, the rate of technological breakthroughs slows. This environment fosters a "brain drain" of local talent, as Nigerian developers and entrepreneurs may seek friendlier regulatory climates abroad, ultimately depriving the domestic economy of its most innovative minds.

Moreover, over-regulation inadvertently undermines the goal of financial inclusion. By increasing the cost of service delivery, strict regulations force fintech companies to increase their transaction fees or focus solely on high-income urban markets to maintain profitability. Consequently, the rural and low-income populations (those whom fintech is most equipped to serve) remain excluded from the formal financial system due to the high costs passed down from the regulatory burden.

In conclusion, while regulation ensures financial stability, excessive or poorly coordinated oversight hinders growth. A liberty-based approach such as prioritizing reduced restrictions, simplified institutional frameworks, and a flexible regulatory environment, can unlock the full potential of Nigeria's fintech sector, catalyzing greater innovation, investment, and economic development (Janos, 2025).

Case Studies and Real-World Examples

Case Study 1: Flutterwave and Regulatory Volatility

Flutterwave, a prominent leader in Africa's payment landscape, serves as a primary example of regulatory friction. In 2022, the company encountered allegations of financial misconduct, resulting in the temporary freezing of its accounts in Nigeria. While investigations remain a necessary component of oversight, these actions underscored significant concerns regarding regulatory transparency and procedural consistency. According to Aro (2026), the resulting uncertainty disrupted operations and demonstrated how intensified regulatory pressure can destabilize even well-established market leaders.

Case Study 2: Paystack and the Benefits of Early-Stage Flexibility

The trajectory of Paystack, which achieved rapid scalability prior to its acquisition by Stripe, illustrates the advantages of a supportive regulatory environment. During its formative years, Paystack operated within a relatively flexible framework that permitted rapid innovation and

expansion. This case shows how a less restrictive environment fosters innovation and attracts significant global investment.

Case Study 3: The Regulatory Sandbox Model

The implementation of regulatory sandboxes exemplifies how flexibility can be institutionalized. These frameworks facilitate fintech innovation by providing a controlled environment for experimentation, exempt from the immediate burden of full-scale regulation. Rather than mandating total compliance from inception, sandboxes allow startups to test products with real users under direct regulatory supervision. This model, successfully deployed in the United Kingdom and currently under consideration in Nigeria, effectively reduces uncertainty and encourages experimentation while maintaining essential consumer safeguards (Janos, 2025).

Case Study 4: Technological Integration vs. Fiscal Burden

A notable example of institutional collaboration is the partnership between the Federal Inland Revenue Service (FIRS) and Flutterwave to digitize tax collection. This initiative demonstrates how fintech enhances national tax efficiency. However, it also highlights a systemic irony: the very sector driving the modernization of tax infrastructure remains increasingly burdened by its own complex tax and reporting obligations.

Evidence from Nigeria's fintech evolution suggests that periods of regulatory flexibility directly correlate with rapid expansion and enhanced financial inclusion. Conversely, as seen in the experiences of Flutterwave and Paystack, excessive control generates inefficiencies that stifle growth. Striking a balance between necessary oversight and operational freedom remains vital for unlocking Nigeria's full economic potential.

Policy Recommendations

Based on the analysis of Nigeria's fintech ecosystem, this study proposes the following recommendations to mitigate over-regulation while fostering innovation, economic growth, and financial inclusion:

1. **Streamline and Harmonize Regulatory Agencies:** The CBN and other relevant bodies should enhance inter-agency coordination to eliminate overlapping jurisdictions. Establishing a unified regulatory interface will reduce administrative confusion, lower compliance costs, and improve oversight efficiency. Such collaboration is highly feasible and achievable through strategic partnerships without requiring extensive legislative amendments.

This research is published as part of the Liberalist Centre's Next Advocates Liberty Project supported by the Institute of Economic Affairs through her Whetstone Freedom Fund.

2. **Implement a Unified Fintech Framework:** Nigeria should develop a comprehensive, singular fintech policy that explicitly defines operational rules, licensing requirements, and compliance guidelines. Evidence from jurisdictions with consolidated frameworks suggests that regulatory clarity significantly bolsters investor confidence and accelerates sectoral growth (IMF, 2025).

3. **Expand Regulatory Sandboxes:** Regulators should scale existing regulatory sandboxes to allow a broader range of fintech firms to test disruptive innovations under relaxed conditions. Global precedents demonstrate that this approach effectively encourages experimentation while maintaining essential systemic safeguards (Janos, 2025).

4. **Simplify Licensing and Approval Processes:** Authorities should eliminate bureaucratic delays by introducing digitized application systems and mandatory processing timelines. Reducing these administrative barriers facilitates increased business activity and attracts foreign direct investment, aligning with a liberty-based economic approach.

5. **Harmonize Taxation for Fintech Firms:** The government should align fintech tax policies across federal, state, and local levels to prevent the current trend of multiple taxation. A predictable and simplified tax structure will diminish the compliance burden and re-channel capital toward technological innovation (Aro, 2026).

6. **Introduce Startup Tax Relief:** To encourage market entry and job creation, the government should grant early-stage fintech startups temporary tax incentives or reduced compliance mandates during their initial years of operation. These liberty-friendly reforms lower the barrier to entry and support long-term sustainability (Shereefdeen, 2024).

7. **Apply Proportionate Regulation:** Regulators should adopt a risk-based approach, scaling requirements according to the size and systemic risk level of the firm. Applying lighter regulatory demands to startups, as opposed to large, established financial institutions, ensures that oversight remains effective without placing an undue burden on small enterprises.

Conclusion

This study examined the issue of over-regulation in Nigeria's fintech sector and its consequent impact on innovation, investment, and economic growth. The findings indicate that while regulation remains essential to protect consumers, ensure stability, and prevent fraud, excessive or ambiguous regulatory policies impose significant challenges on fintech enterprises.

This research is published as part of the Liberalist Centre's Next Advocates Liberty Project supported by the Institute of Economic Affairs through her Whetstone Freedom Fund.

Nigeria's fintech sector possesses the transformative potential to drive financial inclusion and national economic development. However, the sector can only fully realize this potential if regulatory policies are clear, balanced, and supportive of innovation. Achieving an optimal equilibrium between regulation and freedom remains the fundamental requirement for ensuring the sustainable growth of the industry.

This research is published as part of the Liberalist Centre's Next Advocates Liberty Project supported by the Institute of Economic Affairs through her Whetstone Freedom Fund.

REFERENCES

Aro, B. (2026) 'CBN: unclear rules, licence approval delays hampering growth in fintech sector', *The Cable*, 2 February. Available at: https://www.thecable.ng/cbn-unclear-rules-licence-approval-delays-hampering-growth-in-fintech-sector/ (Accessed: 28 March 2026).

Balogun, F. (2026) 'CBN sets new direction for Nigeria's fintech growth with sector review', *BusinessDay*, 2 February. Available at: https://businessday.ng/technology/article/cbn-sets-new-direction-for-nigerias-fintech-growth-with-sector-review/ (Accessed: 28 March 2026).

Central Bank of Nigeria (2026) *Shaping the Future of Fintech in Nigeria: Innovation, Inclusion and Integrity*. Available at: https://alliancelawfirm.ng/the-cbn-fintech-report-shaping-the-future-of-fintech-in-nigeria/ (Accessed: 28 March 2026).

Ibeh, R. (2026) 'CBN admits regulatory friction, unveils roadmap to cut fintech bottlenecks', *BusinessDay*, 2 February. Available at: https://businessday.ng/technology/article/cbn-admits-regulatory-friction-unveils-roadmap-to-cut-fintech-bottlenecks/ (Accessed: 28 March 2026).

International Monetary Fund (2025) *Nigeria: Staff Completes 2025 Article IV Mission*. Available at: https://www.imf.org/en/news/articles/2025/07/01/pr-25231-nigeria-imf-staff-completes-2025-article-iv-mission (Accessed: 28 March 2026).

János, K. (2025) 'The Role of Regulatory Sandboxes in FinTech Innovation', *FinTech*, 4(2), pp. 240-255. doi: 10.3390/fintech4020016. Available at: https://www.mdpi.com/2674-1032/4/2/26 (Accessed: 28 March 2026).

Shereefdeen, A. (2024) 'Nigerian Government's Over-taxation Poses Threats to the Blooming Fintech Industry', *The Liberalist*, 10 September. Available at: https://theliberalist.org/nigerian-governments-over-taxation-poses-threats-to-the-blooming-fintech-industry/ (Accessed: 30 March 2026).

Tunji, S. (2026) 'Fintech industry divided over regulatory environment', *Punch Newspaper*, 3 February. Available at: https://punchng.com/fintech-industry-divided-over-regulatory-environment-cbn/ (Accessed: 28 March 2026).

This research is published as part of the Liberalist Centre's Next Advocates Liberty Project supported by the Institute of Economic Affairs through her Whetstone Freedom Fund.